

**BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD
AGENDA**

MONDAY 14 JUNE 2010

AT 5PM

**IN THE BOARDROOM,
CORNER BERESFORD AND UNION STREET,
NEW BRIGHTON**

Community Board: David East (Chairman), Nigel Dixon, Tina Lomax, Gail Sheriff, Tim Sintes, Linda Stewart and Chrissie Williams.

Community Board Adviser
Peter Dow
Phone 941 5305 DDI
Email: peter.dow@ccc.govt.nz

- PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION**
- PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION**
- PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS**

INDEX

- PART C 1. APOLOGIES**
- PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 31 MAY 2010**
- PART B 3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**
- PART B 4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS**
- PART B 5. NOTICES OF MOTION**
- PART B 6. CORRESPONDENCE**
- PART B 7. BRIEFINGS**
- PART C 8. WAINONI PARK - CHANGE OF RESERVE CLASSIFICATION**
- PART C 9. PEMBROKE STREET - RENEWAL PROJECT**
- PART C 10. MOBILE LIBRARY SERVICE - PARKING RESTRICTIONS**
- PART C 11. PROPOSED ROAD AND RIGHT-OF-WAY NAMINGS**
- PART C 12. NEW BRIGHTON BEACH PARK - GIFT OF MEMORIAL STONE CAIRN AND PLAQUE**
- PART C 13. BURWOOD/PEGASUS DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND 2009/2010 – APPLICATION - PEGASUS BAY CHARITABLE TRUST**
- PART B 14. RESIDENTS' ASSOCIATIONS/COMMUNITY ORGANISATIONS**

14. 6. 2010

- 2 -

INDEX

- PART B 15. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE**
15.1 Upcoming Board Activity
15.2 Board Funding Update
15.3 Chief Executive's May Council Update
- PART B 16. BOARD MEMBERS' QUESTIONS**

1. **APOLOGIES**

2. **CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 31 MAY 2010**

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 31 May 2010, are **attached**.

3. **DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**

4. **PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS**

5. **NOTICES OF MOTION**

6. **CORRESPONDENCE**

7. **BRIEFINGS**

8. WAINONI PARK - CHANGE OF RESERVE CLASSIFICATION IN ALDERSHOT STREET

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941- 8608
Officer responsible:	Asset and Network Planning Unit Manager
Author:	David Rowland, Property Consultant

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. To seek the Board's approval to change the present Reserve Classification for part of Wainoni Park from "Recreation Reserve" to "Local Purpose (Community Building) Reserve" so as to facilitate and enable the site to be utilised for the erection of the proposed new Aranui Library and associated car parking.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Council on 24 September 2009, resolved that:
 - (a) *"Authority be granted to acquire the property at 109 Aldershot Street described as Lot 2 DP 22500 having a total land area of 783 square metres and be utilised as the site for the new Aranui Library.*
 - (b) *An adequate portion of Wainoni Park immediately to the west of 109 Aldershot Street be classified as "Local Purpose (Community Purposes) Reserve" under the provisions of the Reserves Act 1977 and be made available jointly for car parking as part of the new Aranui Library and also for park users."*
3. A contract for the purchase of 109 Aldershot Street has been concluded with the owners and is subject to the Council obtaining Resource Consent to establish the library. Application for that consent has been lodged and is due to be heard in the near future.
4. The design of the new library is such that the physical building as well as the car park occupies both 109 Aldershot Street and part of the adjoining Wainoni Park, see plan **attached (Attachment 1 and 2)**. The building site location does not align with the Council's resolution in paragraph 2 above.
5. To enable the library to be established partly on the reserve the formal consent of the Board to change the classification from Recreation Reserve to Local Purpose (Community Building) Reserve is required under the Reserves Act 1977 as a prerequisite, as is granting consent to locate the building also on park land.
6. A number of site and building location options have been considered for the proposed library and operationally the current proposal is acceptable to Libraries although not necessarily the preferred option from a green space and park perspective.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The only financial implications to this consent application are those incurred by the Council to advertise the proposal and the Department of Conservation's fees to consider the proposal to change the classification. These fees will amount to around \$1,000 and will be the responsibility of the library project.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. Yes.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

9. Wainoni Park is held by the Council as a Recreation Reserve under the provisions of the Reserves Act 1977.

8. Cont'd

10. Under Section 24 of the Reserves Act 1977, before considering the change of classification over a Reserve the Council is required to give public notice specifying its intentions. Public Notice was given on the 9 April 2010 of the intention to change the classification and no objections or submissions have been received.
11. The notice to change the classification was given over Lot 1 and part Lot 2 DP 77907 shown as Section 5 on SO Plan 359536 and Lot 1 DP 46591, a total area of 2933 square metres. See Site Plan of Legal Boundaries (**Attachment 3**).
12. The library is proposed to be located on Lot 1 DP 46591, a narrow strip of land reserve immediately to the west of 109 Aldershot St and has an area of 108 square metres and also Lot 1 DP 77907 with an area of land comprising 1418 square metres.
13. It is considered by staff that it is not necessary to change the classification of Lot 2 DP 77907 even though public notice has been given of that proposal. Lot 2 would remain as "Recreation" Reserve. One of the critical park planning considerations when Wainoni Park was redeveloped some five to six years ago was to keep clear sight lines from Aldershot Street into the park. Both 101 and 107 Aldershot Street were acquired from Housing Corporation New Zealand to achieve this site line by turning the properties into reserve. The retention of the recreation classification achieves this essential criteria.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

14. Yes, see comments in paragraphs 9 to 13 above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

15. Yes.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

16. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

17. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

18. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

19. Not required, however public notice under the Reserves Act 1977 of the Council's intention to change the classification has been undertaken and no objection nor submissions have been received.

8. Cont'd

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that subject to the consent of the Department of Conservation for the proposed change in classification being sought and obtained:

- (a) The Burwood/Pegasus Community Board acting under delegated authority, resolve under Section 24 of the Reserves Act 1977 to change the classification of that part of Wainoni Park fronting Aldershot Street described as Lot 1 DP 77907 being part of Section 5 on SO Plan 359536 and Lot 1 DP 46591 comprising a total area of 1526 square metres from "Recreation Reserve" to "Local Purpose (Community Building) Reserve", and;
- (b) The Burwood/Pegasus Community Board support the building site location of the proposed Aranui Library and associated car parking, subject to Resource Consent being obtained, on that portion of Wainoni Park as described in (a) above including the occupation of air space and structural support over part of Part Reserve 5208 to accommodate the roof overhang.

9. PEMBROKE STREET - RENEWAL PROJECT

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Unit Manager
Author:	Brian Boddy, Consultation Leader

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the approval of the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board for the Pembroke Street kerb and dish channel replacement project, as shown in **attachment 1**.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. This report was originally included in the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board's agenda for its meeting on 12 April 2010. Staff checking the document found that the proposed P3 parking resolution at the school entrance had been left off the plan and resolutions. Consequently, that original report was withdrawn to enable the correction of the plan and inclusion of the additional resolution in this report.
3. Pembroke Street is a local road that runs between Avonside Drive and Breezes Road, with a vehicle usage of approximately 700 vehicles per day. The existing kerb and flat channel has failed prematurely, which could be due to a number of factors, such as the high water table. Although the street is in reasonable condition the road shoulder is cracked and worn through with potholing in places. Footpaths were last resurfaced in December 1983 and are not scheduled for further maintenance unless as part of kerb and channel replacement.
4. This project involves the replacement of all the existing kerb and dish channel with kerb and flat channel for the full length of Pembroke Street. The primary objectives for the project are as follows:
 - (a) To replace the kerb and channel;
 - (b) To maintain or improve safety for all road users;
 - (c) To ensure adequate drainage is provided;
 - (d) To complete the project within the allocated budget;
 - (e) To complete the construction within the 2010/11 financial year;
 - (f) To minimise whole of life costs.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. Funding for the proposed kerb and channel renewal works in Pembroke Street is provided in the 2009-19 LTCCP Street Renewal Programme, as shown below.

2009/10	\$52,000
2010/11	\$1,503,000

Based on current estimates, there is sufficient funding to complete the installation of this project.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

6. Yes. Funding for this project is provided in the 2009/19 LTCCP, page 245, Street Renewal Programme.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

7. There is no land ownership issues associated with this project. The project is within existing land boundaries. No resource consents are required.

9. Cont'd

8. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
9. The Community Boards have delegated authority from Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations dated April 2008. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and Traffic Control Devices.
10. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. Yes, as above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Funding for this project is provided within the Transport and Greenspace Unit's Capital Programme.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Funding for the proposed Pembroke Street Renewal project is programmed in the 2009–19 Long Term Council Community Plan (LTCCP) Street Renewal Programme.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. This project is consistent with key Council strategies including the Parking Strategy, Road Safety Strategy and Pedestrian Strategy.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. A memorandum was sent to the Community Board Adviser, Burwood/Pegasus in July 2009 advising of the project consultation programme.
16. An initial survey was then carried out with the residents and property owners of Pembroke Street in August/September 2009. 25 responses were received expressing concern over speeding vehicles; an unsafe truck parking on the bend in the road; concern at safety levels of children cycling and traffic congestion before and after school; and that the condition of the road and footpaths.
17. A Seminar was held with the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board on 2 November 2009, prior to the publicity pamphlet (including concept plan) being distributed to the community and stakeholders for consultation. The feedback period was from 20 November 2009 until 11 December 2009. Approximately 160 households in Pembroke Street, and other interested groups, were consulted, of which 25 responded. The majority of respondents (84 per cent) were in support of the proposal.
18. The key issues raised related to on street parking, traffic control, landscaping and lack of undergrounding. A summary of the feedback received in consultation phase and the project team's responses has been circulated separately to the Board members.
19. As a result of the feedback received, the following changes have been made:
 - (a) Shift the two metre wide footpath to the kerbside on the west side of Pembroke from Horton Place to Breezes Road so there is one metre between boundaries and pedestrians. This will necessitate two power poles being shifted against the property boundary, i.e. in front of house number nine and house number three;

9. Cont'd

- (b) Raised platforms will be extended so there is two metre gap between any approach ramps and cut down;
 - (c) Pedestrian cut downs will be added across Pembroke Street at the Horton Place and Cardrona Road intersections, where there is no driveway conflict;
 - (d) A double sided general regulatory (RG-25) 'pedestrians' sign will be installed on the existing power pole outside number 40 Pembroke to indicate a walkway entrance/exit;
 - (e) Remove landscaping between house numbers 62 and 58, outside Chisnallwood Intermediate School and on the west side of the Pembroke Street and Breezes Road intersection; as requested by the adjoining residents.
 - (f) A P3 parking restriction is to be installed to create a drop off zone which will operate from Monday to Friday on school days outside numbers two to six Pembroke Street.
20. Each submitter has received an email or letter, which acknowledged that their submission has been received.
21. All respondents were sent a final reply letter that outlined the outcome of consultation and the updated concept plan. The letter informed respondents that a report would be presented to this meeting of the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board for their approval. Details of the Board meeting were also provided so that any interested residents could attend or address the Board prior to the decision being made. The Consultation Leader also visited the properties adjacent to the proposed P3 parking restriction to inform them of the proposal. No negative feedback has been received to date.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board approve:

- (a) The proposed plan for the Pembroke Street Renewal, as per plan TP 316201; and
- (b) The following parking restrictions:

Revoke existing no stopping restrictions

- (c) That the existing no stopping restrictions on both sides of Pembroke Street commencing at Breezes Road and extending in a southerly direction to Avonside Drive, be revoked.
- (d) That the existing no stopping restrictions on both sides of Horton Place commencing at its intersection with Pembroke Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 12 metres, be revoked.
- (e) That the existing no stopping restrictions on both sides of Cardrona Street commencing at its intersection with Pembroke Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 12 metres, be revoked.
- (f) That the existing no stopping restrictions on the east side of Avonside Drive commencing at its intersection with Pembroke Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 18 metres be revoked.
- (g) That the existing no stopping restrictions on the east side of Avonside Drive commencing at its intersection with Pembroke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 12 metres, be revoked.

9. Cont'd

New parking restrictions

- (h) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Pembroke Street commencing at its intersection with Breezes Road and extending 28 metres in a southerly direction.
- (i) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Pembroke Street commencing at its intersection with Breezes Road and extending 32 metres in a southerly direction.
- (j) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Pembroke Street commencing at its intersection with Horton Place and extending 12 metres in a northerly direction.
- (k) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Pembroke Street commencing at its intersection with Horton Place and extending nine metres in a southerly direction.
- (l) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Horton Place commencing at its intersection with Pembroke Street and extending 10 metres in a westerly direction.
- (m) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south side of Horton Place commencing at its intersection with Pembroke Street and extending 10 metres in a westerly direction.
- (n) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Pembroke Street commencing at a point 75 metres south of its intersection with Breezes Road and extending 26 metres in a southerly direction.
- (o) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Pembroke Street commencing at a point 43 metres east of its intersection with Cardrona Street and extending 46 metres in an easterly direction.
- (p) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south side of Pembroke Street commencing at a point 92 metres east of its intersection with Avonside Drive and extending 28 metres in an easterly direction.
- (q) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Pembroke Street commencing at its intersection with Cardrona Street and extending 15 metres in an easterly direction.
- (r) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Pembroke Street commencing at its intersection with Cardrona Street and extending seven metres in a westerly direction.
- (s) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Cardrona Street commencing at its intersection with Pembroke Street and extending 12 metres in a northerly direction.
- (t) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Cardrona Street commencing at its intersection with Pembroke Street and extending 12 metres in a northerly direction.
- (u) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south side of Pembroke Street commencing at its intersection with Avonside Drive and extending 12 metres in an easterly direction.

9. Cont'd

- (v) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Pembroke Street commencing at its intersection with Avonside Drive and extending 12 metres in an easterly direction.
- (w) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Avonside Drive commencing at its intersection with Pembroke Street and extending 12 metres in a southerly direction.
- (x) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Avonside Drive commencing at its intersection with Pembroke Street and extending 18 metres in a northerly direction.
- (y) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of three minutes, 8am to 9am and 2.30 to 3.30pm, School days only, on the east side of Pembroke Street commencing at a point 32 metres from its intersection with Breezes Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 43 metres.

9. Cont'd

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

22. Chisnallwood Intermediate School has an entrance opposite Horton Place. Pedestrian and cyclist demand is high during school starting/finishing time, at the Breezes Road intersection and at the school driveway opposite Horton Place where there is a 40 kilometres per hour school zone. There is a pathway between number 40 and 44 Pembroke that links to Emlyn Playground as well as Emlyn Place and is likely also used by school children.
23. Parts of Breezes Road, including the intersection with Pembroke Street near Chisnallwood Intermediate School were reconstructed in 2005; improvements included the provision of pedestrian islands on Breezes Road.
24. In the five year period 2004 to 2009 there were six crashes along Pembroke Street, five were loss of control crashes, two of which were mid-block (between Cardrona Street and Horton Place). There was one crash involving speed, one involved alcohol and two involved inexperienced or young drivers. The intersection of Pembroke and Avonside Drive has a history of people hitting the lamppost turning right into Pembroke, often at speed. Speed surveys conducted between 5 and 17 August 2009 recording an 85th percentile speed of 55 kilometres per hour.

THE OBJECTIVES

25. The primary objectives of the project are:
 - (a) To replace the kerb and channel;
 - (b) To maintain or improve safety for all road users;
 - (c) To ensure adequate drainage is provided;
 - (d) To complete the project within the allocated budget;
 - (e) To complete the construction within the 2010/11 financial year;
 - (f) To minimise whole of life costs.

THE OPTIONS

26. Three options were developed for comparison, each of which is discussed below. Option 2 has been further developed to result in the preferred option.
27. Option 1 is to replace the kerb and channel on the existing alignment, without implementing any safety improvements. It also involves carriageway and footpath reconstruction/resurfacing, plus any minor maintenance works where required. This scheme will see the removal of over 1 km of existing kerb and flat channel due to premature failure. The results of initial consultation indicate there is speeding issue. The 85th percentile speed is 55 kilometres per hour. As no traffic calming is proposed this option won't reduce vehicle speeds, although it will maintain it if speeding is not a real problem or the existing school zone is considered adequate.

Option 1 has not been selected as the preferred option as safety objectives may not be met.

28. Option 2 includes:
 - (a) The replacement of kerb and flat channel, carriageway and footpaths, keeping the existing nine metre wide road alignment.
 - (b) New kerb alignment or build outs where Pembroke Street intersects Cardrona Street, Avonside and Breezes Road. Also smoothing out the bend outside numbers 61 to 63 Pembroke Street. All corner radii will be five metres.

9. Cont'd

- (c) A 75 millimetre raised platform at the Cardrona Street intersection and also at the Horton Place intersection for school related traffic, which is within the 40 kilometres per hour school zone.
 - (d) Two speed humps located between numbers 25 and 27, and at 40 Pembroke Street (just after the walkway to Emlyn Playground).
29. This option addresses key objectives better with the use of platforms at intersections. Emphasis of the school zone at Horton Place with a platform serves an additional purpose of highlighting where children will enter traffic. Platforms will slow vehicles at intersections and indirectly improve safety for pedestrians crossing at provided cutdowns. Reducing the curve radius at intersections (and squaring up the Cardrona Street intersection) improves sight distance and safety for pedestrians.
30. Option 2 has been further developed with the removal of the two speed humps (not required for the existing traffic speeds) to result in the preferred option.
31. Option 3 is the same as Option 2 above, except the method of traffic calming is changed to:
- (a) A type C threshold treatment where Pembroke Street intersects Breezes Road.
 - (b) Four speed humps spaced 120 metres apart, located outside numbers 69, 40, 29 and 13 Pembroke Street.
32. Option 3 has not been selected as the preferred option as this treatment is excessive for the existing 85th percentile vehicle speed.

THE PREFERRED OPTION

33. The proposal includes:
- (a) Replacement of the existing kerb and flat channel that has failed with new kerb and flat channel.
 - (b) Full carriageway and footpath reconstruction.
 - (c) A 75 millimetre raised platform at the Cardrona Street intersection and also at the Horton Place intersection for school related traffic, which is within the 40 kilometres per hour school zone.
 - (d) Teeing up the intersection of Pembroke and Cardrona Street, and tightening the kerb radius at Breezes Road and Avonside Drive to five metres.
 - (e) Street lighting and drainage upgrade to meet current IDS/CSS standards.
 - (f) Imposing parking restrictions on the bend outside numbers 61 to 63 Pembroke Street.
 - (g) Provide pedestrian cutdowns at key crossing locations.
34. This proposal meets the stated aims and objectives. The proposal also takes into consideration all identified asset management issues, best practice guidelines, safety issues and legal considerations associated with this project. A safety audit has been completed and incorporated into the proposed scheme. The budget will not need to be increased to achieve the objectives as stated above.

10. MOBILE LIBRARY SERVICE - PARKING RESTRICTIONS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Unit Manager
Author:	Greg Barnard, Public Transport Infrastructure Co-ordinator

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to provide information and to seek the resolution of the Board to install parking restrictions to provide the Council's Mobile Library Service vehicles with a consistent stopping place at each designated local stop.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Mobile Library Service timetable has been reviewed under a separate process and changes to the timetable have been approved for implementation. This process also included the approval of the general location of stops.
3. It is proposed that parking restrictions will be such that the parking space will be available for general parking at times other than when being utilised by the mobile library.
4. It is proposed that mobile library stops be installed at the locations listed below and that parking restrictions be applied at the times shown.

Address	Location	Day	Restriction times
31 Hampshire Street (outside Community Centre) (Attachment 1)	152 metres southeast of Portsmouth Street	Monday	9am to 12pm
309 Breezes Road (Attachment 2)	112 metres southeast of Pages Road	Monday	10am to 12pm and 2pm to 4pm
115 Eureka Street (Attachment 3)	45 metres north of Brockenhurst Street	Monday	9am to 11am
26 Breezes Road (Attachment 4)	20 metres east of Cardrona Street	Monday	3pm to 5pm

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. Costs of \$500 each for installing the stops including line marking and signage will be met from the Transport Infrastructure budget.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

6. Yes.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

7. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides the Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
8. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations dated December 2009. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and traffic control devices including bus stops.
9. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

10. Cont'd

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. Yes, refer paragraphs 7, 8 and 9 above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. LTCCP – Provide a mobile library service to residents without access to a local library.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, as in paragraph 11 above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Provide community spaces through a comprehensive network of libraries and the mobile service.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. Yes. Our Community Plan.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. All residents of properties adjacent to the proposed stops have been consulted and have agreed to the placement of the stops.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board resolve to place mobile library parking spaces at the following locations:

- (a) That a bus parking space restricted to Mobile Library vehicles only on Mondays from 9am to 12pm, be installed on the western side of Hampshire Street commencing at a point 152 metres southeast of Portsmouth Street and continuing in a south easterly direction for a distance of 20 metres.
- (b) That a bus parking space restricted to Mobile Library vehicles only on Mondays from 10am to 12pm and 2pm to 4pm, be installed on the northern side of Breezes Road commencing at a point 112 metres southeast of Pages Road and continuing in a south easterly direction for a distance of 20 metres.
- (c) That a bus parking space restricted to Mobile Library vehicles only on Monday from 9am to 11am, be installed on the southern side of Eureka Street commencing at a point 41 metres north of Brockenhurst Road and continuing in a northerly direction for a distance of 20 metres.
- (d) That a bus parking space restricted to Mobile Library vehicles only on Monday from 3pm to 5pm, be installed on the southern side of Breezes Road commencing at a point 20 metres east of Cardrona Street and continuing in an easterly direction for a distance of 20 metres.

11. PROPOSED ROAD AND RIGHT-OF-WAY NAMINGS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8462
Officer responsible:	Environment Policy and Approvals Manager
Author:	Bob Pritchard, Subdivisions Officer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to obtain the Board's approval to two new road names, and one new right-of way-name in relation to the Cameo Grove subdivision off Burwood Road.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The approval of proposed new road and right-of-way names is delegated to Community Boards.
3. The Subdivision Officer has checked the proposed names against the Council's road name database to ensure they will not be confused with names currently in use.

Cameo Grove Subdivision – K O'Donnell

4. This subdivision creates 40 new residential allotments, with the access off Burwood Road via Cameo Grove (**attachment 1** refers). Cameo Grove has been upgraded from a right-of-way to a legal road. The new allotments are served by two new internal roads, and a private right-of-way. The property was (at least as far back as 1966), the location of the "Premier Poultry Farm". In recognition of this, the development company proposes to name the two roads and right-of-way after breeds of poultry.

The larger cul-de-sac is proposed to be named Araucana Way. The Araucana chicken originated in Chile and is known for the blue eggs it lays. The second cul-de-sac is proposed as Serama Place. The Serama is a bantam chicken originating from Malaysia and is known for being one of the lightest chickens in the world. The right-of-way is proposed as Minorca Lane. The Minorca chicken originated in Spain and is one of the larger Mediterranean chickens.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. There is no financial cost to the Council. The administration fee for road naming is included as part of the subdivision consent application fee, and the cost of name plate manufacture is charged direct to the developer.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

6. Not applicable.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

7. The Council has authority to approve right-of-way names.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

8. Yes. There are no legal implications.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

9. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

10. Not applicable.

11. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

11. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

12. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

13. Where proposed road or right-of-way names have a possibility of being confused with names in use already, consultation is held with Land Information New Zealand and New Zealand Post.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board consider and approve the proposed road and right-of way-names as submitted for the Cameo Grove Subdivision off Burwood Road.

11. Cont'd

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

14. There are no issues.

THE OBJECTIVES

15. Approval by the Community Board of the road and right-of way-names proposed in this report.

THE OPTIONS

16. Decline the proposed names and require alternative names to be supplied.

THE PREFERRED OPTION

17. Approve the names as submitted by the applicant.

(STAFF NOTE: At its meeting on 17 May 2010, the Board resolved '*that the above matter lie on the table pending further requested information back from staff*'.)

A copy of the requested further information has been **separately circulated** to members.)

12. NEW BRIGHTON BEACH PARK - GIFT OF MEMORIAL STONE CAIRN AND PLAQUE

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Unit Manager
Author:	Rodney Chambers, Coastal Area Head Ranger

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. To seek approval from the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board for the installation of a natural stone memorial cairn, with brass plaque, to celebrate the centenary of the New Brighton Surf Life Saving Club, in the central New Brighton Beach Park.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The New Brighton Pier and Foreshore Society (NBP&FS) and the New Brighton Surf Life Saving Club (NBSLSC) have requested permission to place a 'memorial stone cairn' and interpretive cast bronze plaque on the central New Brighton Beach Park. The cairn will commemorate 100 years of the work of the New Brighton Surf Life Saving Club, due in early July 2010. The groups wish to place the cairn as near as possible to the original site of the club, which is on the New Brighton Beach Park, between the whale pool and the library.
3. The design of the proposed stone cairn will be a replica of the stone buttresses on the adjacent historic stone wall along the foreshore (see **attachment**). The stone to be used has come from an original part of the seawall previously removed in the installation of the new pier.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. Construction - Nil

All costs for the construction and installation of the memorial will be covered by the New Brighton Pier and Foreshore Society.

Maintenance - Minor

The memorial would become the responsibility of Council.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

5. Not applicable.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

6. The current City Plan zoning within which the cairn is to be located is Open Space 2 (District Recreation and Open Space). The cairn is not proposed to be over two metres so it would not fall under the 'building' definition in the City Plan and it would not require consent under these provisions.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

7. Yes, as in paragraph 6 above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

8. With no active landscape plan in place and no funding allocated for redevelopment of the area in the LTCCP for several years, then the community aspiration for an appropriate memorialisation of several historic events of significance is considered important.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

9. Not applicable - funded internally through the LTCCP.

12. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

10. Arts Policy and Strategy.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

11. Goal 5 – Buildings and public spaces that reflect the past, celebrate the present and provide a legacy for the future.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

12. Project proposed and supported by New Brighton Pier and Foreshore Society and New Brighton Surf Life Saving Club.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board:

- (a) Approve the installation of the memorial cairn in the New Brighton Beach Park as per the provided plan and design.
- (b) Accept Council ownership of the memorial and responsibility for its future maintenance.

12. Cont'd

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

13. The New Brighton Pier and Foreshore Society (NBP&FS) has requested permission to place a 'memorial stone cairn' and interpretive cast bronze plaque to commemorate 100 years of the work of the New Brighton Surf Life Saving Club, due in early July 2010. The society wishes to place the cairn as near as possible to the original site of the club, which is on the New Brighton Beach Park, between the whale pool and the library.
14. All costs for the construction and installation of the memorial will be covered by the New Brighton Pier and Foreshore Society. The cairn will be four sided and this allows for the placement of future plaques.

THE OBJECTIVES

15. To create a memorial to commemorate 100 years of the work of the New Brighton Surf Life Saving Club, due in early July 2010. The design of the stone cairn was chosen to make the memorial as sympathetic as possible in style and in relation to the adjacent historic stone wall along the foreshore. With no active landscape plan in place and no funding allocated for redevelopment of the area in the LTCCP for several years, then the community aspiration for an appropriate memorialisation of several historic events of significance is considered important.

THE OPTIONS

16. *Preferred Option:*
Allow installation as per the design provided.
17. *Status Quo:*
Allow nothing.
18. *Another Option:*
Require redesign and alternative location.

THE PREFERRED OPTION

Proposal for a 'Memorial Cairn' for the New Brighton Beach Park

19. The proposal is sponsored by the New Brighton Pier and Foreshore Society Incorporated which is offering to fund the construction and installation of a 'memorial stone cairn' of about \$3,500-\$4,000 for the central New Brighton Beach park. The purpose is to create a structure upon which to ultimately attach up to four cast bronze memorial plaques. Only one is proposed at present.
20. It is to be located on an existing concrete plinth located in the asphalted path in front of the historic sea wall, just north of the New Brighton library and pier steps. The cairn design is to be a replication of one of the several tapered buttresses making up the existing stone wall adjacent and will use original stone from the part of the wall removed to install the 'new' pier.
21. The proposal is to make the cairn future proof by making it portable by building the whole structure on a standalone concrete base 150-200 millimetres high. The base would have 'fork lift slots' under it to allow it to be relocated if required in any future redevelopment of the area. The base would also provide the anchor points for the central reinforcing steel rods around which the stone work would be built.

12. Cont'd

ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS**The Preferred Option**

22. Install new stone memorial cairn as proposed.

	Benefits (current and future)	Costs (current and future)
Social	Community aspiration to mark centenary	Nil
Cultural	Community heritage and sense of place	No impact on any other heritage element or theme in the vicinity
Environmental	Appropriate design and materials for site	May have to be relocated in future landscaping proposal.
Economic	Another visitor attraction	Minor future maintenance cost
<p>Extent to which community outcomes are achieved:</p> <p>Local community partner requesting and promoting memorial</p> <p>Impact on the Council's capacity and responsibilities:</p> <p>Minor</p> <p>Effects on Maori:</p> <p>Nil</p> <p>Consistency with existing Council policies:</p> <p>Yes: Arts Policy, Goal 5 – Buildings and public spaces that reflect the past, celebrate the present and provide a legacy for the future.</p> <p>Views and preferences of persons affected or likely to have an interest:</p> <p>Local community promoting and supporting proposal</p> <p>Other relevant matters:</p> <p>Proposal accepted by Hannah Lewthwaite, Senior Landscape Planner, CCC Strategy and Planning Group</p>		

12. Cont'd

Maintain the Status Quo (if not preferred option)

23. Not allow memorial stone cairn.

	Benefits (current and future)	Costs (current and future)
Social	Nil	Community dissatisfaction with refusal
Cultural	Nil	Lack of memorial celebrating key groups in the community
Environmental	Open space retained but un enhanced	Nil
Economic	Nil	Missed opportunity to source community funding for project
<p>Extent to which community outcomes are achieved:</p> <p>Outcomes not achieved</p> <p>Impact on the Council's capacity and responsibilities:</p> <p>Failure to meet community aspiration</p> <p>Effects on Maori:</p> <p>Nil</p> <p>Consistency with existing Council policies:</p> <p>No - Failure to celebrate past heritage and community</p> <p>Views and preferences of persons affected or likely to have an interest:</p> <p>Significant community dissatisfaction with refusal</p> <p>Other relevant matters:</p> <p>Nil</p>		

12. Cont'd

At Least one Other Option (or an explanation of why another option has not been considered)

24. Memorial plaque located in general area but without cairn or redesign structure required.

	Benefits (current and future)	Costs (current and future)
Social		Frustration to community groups involved
Cultural		Difficulty in deciding on alternative design that would be considered suitable for locating plaques
Environmental		
Economic		May miss out on gift
<p>Extent to which community outcomes are achieved:</p> <p>No suitable structure for plaques at desired site except existing seawall.</p> <p>Impact on the Council's capacity and responsibilities:</p> <p>Nil</p> <p>Effects on Maori:</p> <p>Nil</p> <p>Consistency with existing Council policies:</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Views and preferences of persons affected or likely to have an interest:</p> <p>Community group already invested in chosen site and design</p> <p>Other relevant matters:</p> <p>Nil</p>		

13. BURWOOD/PEGASUS DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND 2009/2010 – APPLICATIONS – AGAPE TRUST, ARANUI EAGLES RUGBY LEAGUE CLUB AND PEGASUS BAY CHARITABLE TRUST

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941- 8607
Officer responsible:	Recreation and Sport Unit Manager
Author:	Jacqui Miller, Community Recreation Advisor

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek consideration of funding requests from the Agape Trust, Aranui Eagles Rugby League Club, and Pegasus Bay Charitable Trust. Funding is sought from the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board's 2009/10 Discretionary Response Fund.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The following table shows the organisation seeking funding, the project name, amount requested and staff amount recommended. Detailed information is presented in a decision matrix (**attached**).

Name of Group	Name of Project	Amount Requested \$	Amount Recommended \$
Agape Trust	Three OSCAR workers to attend the national conference	1,643	1,643
Aranui Eagles Rugby League Club	New posts for juniors and sideline seating for seniors	4,411	4,411
Pegasus Bay Charitable Trust	New Zealand 1 st International Sand Castle Competition at New Brighton, Christchurch Contribution is sought towards costs for the establishment of the Trust and the inaugural event.	10,250	9,000

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

3. The Board has **\$16,673** remaining available for allocation in its 2009/10 Discretionary Response Fund. Should the Board grant the recommended amounts to each organisation this will leave a fund balance of \$1,619 for allocation to 30 June 2010.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

4. Yes, page 184 of the LTCCP refers.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

5. Yes. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

6. Yes. Strengthening Communities Funding and Community Board Funding.

13. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

7. Yes, Strengthening Communities Strategy, Children's Policy, Older Adults Policy, Youth Strategy, Out of School Programmes Policy and Sport and Recreation Strategy as detailed in the attached funding decision matrix.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

8. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board give consideration to the projects detailed in the attached decision matrix and approve the staff recommended allocations from the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board's 2009/10 Discretionary Response Fund.

(STAFF NOTE: At its meeting on 17 May 2010, the Board decided to defer the application from the Pegasus Bay Charitable Trust detailed above, to its meeting on 14 June 2010. Decisions were made to approve funding for the other two applications. As a consequence, a balance of \$10,619 remains in the Board's 2009/10 Discretionary Response Fund)

14. 6. 2010

- 27 -

14. RESIDENTS' ASSOCIATIONS/COMMUNITY ORGANISATIONS

A representative from the Southshore Residents' Association will update the Board on the activities of the group at 6pm.

15. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE

15.1 UPCOMING BOARD ACTIVITY (TABLED)

15.2 BOARD FUNDING UPDATE (ATTACHED)

15.3 CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S MAY COUNCIL UPDATE (ATTACHED)

16. BOARD MEMBERS' QUESTIONS